Influence of Obesity on Achievement of Minimum Clinically Important Difference After Transforaminal Lumbar Interbody Fusion

Kevin C. Jacob, BS¹; Madhav R. Patel, BS¹; Elliot D.K. Cha, MS¹; Conor P. Lynch, MS¹; Michael C. Prabhu, BS¹; Hanna Pawlowski, BS¹; Nisheka N. Vanjani, BS¹; Kern Singh, MD^{1,2}

¹Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Rush University Medical Center

²Professor, Co-Director of the Minimally Invasive Spine Institute at Rush University Medical Center; Founder and President, Minimally Invasive Spine Study Group



All authors report no conflicts of interest.



Minimally Invasive Spine Institute

Background

Obesity is a proven risk factor for poorer outcomes for minimally invasive transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion (MIS TLIF). Few studies have investigated the impact body mass index (BMI) has on minimum clinically important difference (MCID) achievement for Patient-Reported Outcome Measurement Information System Physical Function (PROMIS-PF).

Aims and Objectives

To determine the impact of obesity on postoperative outcomes and MCID achievement following MIS TLIF.





Methodology: Data Collection

Inclusion Criteria

Exclusion Criteria

• Primary, elective single level MIS TLIF procedures

• Surgeries indicated for trauma, infection, or malignancy

Demographics	Preoperative	Intraoperative	Postoperative
 Age Gender Ethnicity BMI Blood pressure Smoking status Diabetic status Insurance coverage 	 Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) classification Spinal diagnosis 	 Operative duration Estimated blood loss 	 Postoperative Length of stay Postoperative day of discharge

- PROMs collected at preop and 6-weeks, 12-weeks, 6-months, 1-year, and 2-years postop
 - Visual Analog Scale (VAS) back and leg
 - Oswestry Disability Index (ODI)
 - 12-Item Short Form Physical Composite Score (SF-12 PCS)
 - PROM Information System physical function (PROMIS PF)





Methodology: Statistical Analysis

- Patients were categorized into four groups based on BMI: Non-Obese (<30 kg/m2); Obese I (≥30 and <35 kg/m2); Severe (≥35 and <40 kg/m2); Morbid (≥40 kg/m2)
- The impact of BMI on outcome measures were determined using linear regression analysis
- Pre-established MCID values were used to calculate achievement rates for all outcome measures
- Significant differences in achievement rates by BMI group were determined using Chi-square analysis





Results: Baseline **Characteristics**

162 patients included, mean age of each cohort was near 50 years old

Cohorts differed in diabetic status, blood pressure, and ASA score

	Non-Obese (n=88)	Obese I (n=37)	Severe (n=25)	Morbid (n=12)	*p-value
Age (mean±SD)	50.9 ± 11.0	49.8 ± 11.8	53.2 ± 9.8	48.6 ± 10.0	0.574
Gender				Annual Production and an	0.137
Female	40.9% (36)	27.0% (10)	56.0% (14)	33.3% (4)	
Male	59.1% (52)	73.0% (27)	44.0% (11)	66.7% (8)	
Ethnicity	199200000000000000000	1.10.04030235	20000.00.00	1100/07/07/07	0.260
African-American	7.9% (7)	21.6% (8)	4.0% (1)	16.7% (2)	
Asian	5.7% (5)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	
Hispanic	6.8% (6)	2.7% (1)	8.0% (2)	8.3%(1)	
White	76.2% (67)	75.7% (28)	80.0% (20)	66,7% (8)	
Other	3.4% (3)	0.0% (0)	8.0% (2)	8.3%(1)	
Diabetic Status					0.015
Non-Diabetic	97.7% (86)	89.2% (33)	80.0% (20)	83.3% (10)	
Diabetic	2.3% (2)	10.8% (4)	20.0% (5)	16.7% (2)	
Smoking Status			2000	- 4950	0.688
Non-Smoker	89.8% (79)	89.2% (33)	92.0% (23)	100.0% (12)	
Smoker	10.2% (9)	10.8% (4)	8.0% (2)	0.0% (0)	
Blood Pressure		1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	0.00000.000		0.002
Normotensive	80.7% (71)	78.4% (29)	52.0% (13)	41.7% (5)	
Hypertensive	19.3% (17)	21.6% (8)	48.0% (12)	58.3% (7)	
ASA score					0.006
52	91.9% (79)	80.6% (29)	72.0% (18)	58.3% (7)	
>2	8.1% (7)	19.4% (7)	28.0% (7)	41.7% (5)	
CCI Score	2122		19620	0503	0.052
<1	62.7% (52)	60.0% (21)	33.3% (8)	41.7% (5)	
≥1	37.3% (31)	40.0% (14)	66.7% (16)	58.3% (7)	
Insurance Type			133 (33 2003)	C 200311/21	0.099
Medicare/Medicaid	2.3%(2)	0.0% (0)	4.0%(1)	8.3%(1)	
Workers' Comp	18.2% (16)	32.4% (12)	28.0% (7)	50.0% (6)	
Private	79.5% (70)	67.6% (25)	68.0% (17)	41.7% (5)	

Standard Deviations; Workers' Comp = workers' compensation *p-value calculated using Chi-square analysis

Boldface indicates significance





Results: Perioperative Characteristics

Cohorts significantly differed in day of discharge, though majority of patients were discharged by Day 1

22 	Non-Obese (n=88)	Obese I (n=37)	Severe (n=25)	Morbid (n=12)	*p-value
Spinal Pathology					
Degenerative Spondylolithesis	62.0% (49)	60.6% (20)	66.9% (14)	66.7% (8)	0.985
Isthmic Spondylolithesis	26.6% (21)	29.0% (9)	30.4% (7)	8.3% (1)	0.507
Recurrent HNP	9.1% (8)	10.8% (4)	12.0% (3)	25.0% (3)	0.141
Scoliosis	3.4% (3)	10.8% (4)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.132
Operative Time (min)					
Mean±SD	122.3 ± 23.6	125.2 ± 25.4	12.7 ± 13.7	130.0 ± 28.8	0.720
Estimated Blood Loss (mL)					
Mean±SD	57.8 ± 69.0	50.0 ± 23.9	47.8 ± 24.5	48.3 ± 35.8	0.778
Length of Stay (hours)					
Mean±SD	28.2 ± 17.9	30.1 ± 14.6	35.2 ± 27.9	33.9 ± 23.0	0.401
Day of Discharge					0.034
POD 0	22.7% (20)	10.8% (4)	32.0% (8)	0.0% (0)	
POD 1	56.8% (50)	67.6% (25)	32.0% (8)	83.3% (10)	
POD 2	17.1% (15)	18.9% (7)	20.0% (5)	8.3% (1)	
POD 3+	3.4% (3)	2.7%(1)	16.0% (4)	8.3% (1)	





Results: Postoperative Improvement

Cohorts demonstrated many significant differences in PROM scores for pain, disability, and physical function

	Non-Obese	Obese 1	Severe	Morbid	
	(mean±SD)	(mean±SD)	(mean±SD)	(mean±SD)	*p-value
VAS Back		175. Kit	10. Al		- 00
Preoperative	6.0 ± 2.5	6.6 ± 2.6	6.3 ± 2.3	7.5 ± 1.7	0.232
6-weeks	3.2 ± 2.4	3.8 ± 2.6	5.3 ± 2.6	5.1 ± 2.5	0.002
12-weeks	2.6 ± 2.2	4.2 ± 2.9	4.1 ± 2.5	5.4 ± 3.1	0.001
6-months	2.9 ± 2.8	3.6 ± 2.5	4.3 ± 3.1	4.3 ± 2.4	0.126
1-year	2.5 ± 2.8	3.7 ± 2.8	3.3 ± 1.6	2.9 ± 2.9	0.434
VAS Leg	10000-0000	Ser. 6. 5. 1990.	00000004.04	20202230000	1151-2215
Preoperative	4.8 ± 3.0	5.7 ± 3.1	6.6 ± 2.4	6.9 ± 1.9	0.012
6-weeks	1.9 ± 2.3	3.3 ± 2.9	4.6 ± 3.0	4.4 ± 2.3	<0.001
12-weeks	1.4 ± 2.0	3.4 ± 2.9	4.0 ± 2.0	3.4 ± 2.4	<0.001
6-months	1.8 ± 2.5	3.0 ± 3.0	2.7 ± 3.2	3.0 ± 2.5	0.129
1-year	1.9 ± 2.6	3.1 ± 3.4	3.6 ± 3.0	2.7 ± 2.6	0.252
ODI	200 m - 20 20 m				
Preoperative	36.1 ± 15.6	44.3 ± 17.0	45.1 ± 15.1	57.3 ± 10.1	<0.001
6-weeks	28.7 ± 17.5	33.5 ± 20.9	44.3 ± 21.5	49.0 ± 13.9	0.002
12-weeks	21.6 ± 14.7	35.4 ± 20.0	32.2 ± 20.2	47.0 ± 9.8	< 0.001
6-months	17.1 ± 16.6	26.8 ± 17.9	29.2 ± 21.5	35.8 ± 17.7	0.001
1-year	14.6 ± 17.9	26.5 ± 19.3	30.6 ± 23.7	23.5 ± 23.6	0.035
SF-12					
Preoperative	33.5 ± 8.7	28.4 ± 7.0	27.7 ± 7.5	25.0 ± 5.1	<0.001
6-weeks	35.9 ± 7.5	30.3 ± 7.8	27.7 ± 6.8	25.1 ± 6.0	0.001
12-weeks	38.5 ± 9.0	32.9 ± 9.9	31.6 ± 11.1	23.7 ± 6.3	< 9.001
6-months	42.6 ± 11.4	36.6 ± 11.3	34.6 ± 11.3	33.3 ± 8.9	0.012
1-year	43.7 ± 11.7	37.1 ± 11.3	36.5 ± 9.4	34.3 ± 10.8	0.031
PROMIS-PF	Sector Const.	2000 C - 11	5412 (D2)	100000000000000	2000
Preoperative	37.0 ± 6.5	34.5 ± 5.4	33.4 ± 4.0	30.6 ± 5.7	0.001
6-weeks	38.8 ± 6.7	36.2 ± 6.6	34.0 ± 6.9	31.3 ± 3.9	0.002
12-weeks	43.8 ± 6.1	38.0 ± 7.6	38.4 ± 7.5	33.6 ± 4.9	<0.001
6-months	46.3 ± 6.1	42.0 ± 7.6	40.7 ± 6.8	38.3 ± 6.7	0.001
1-year	49.0 ± 9.5	41.5 ± 7.9	41.1 ± 6.4	40.9 ± 7.0	0.001

PF = Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System physical function

*p-values calculated using linear regression

Boldface indicates significance





Results: MCID Achievement

Significant differences between cohorts noted only for PROMIS-PF at 12-weeks

2000 PC	Preop - 6wks	Preop - 12wks	Preop - 6mo	Preop - 1yr	Overall
VAS Back	n-48	n=56	n-86	n~56	n=125
Non-Obese	55.1% (25)	62.9% (56)	54.6% (47)	58.9% (33)	54.4% (68)
Obese 1	25.2% (11)	18.0% (16)	24.4% (21)	19.6% (11)	23.2% (29)
Severe	9.2% (14)	12.4% (11)	10.4% (9)	14.3% (8)	13.6% (17)
Morbid	10.3% (9)	6.7% (6)	10.4% (9)	7.1% (4)	8.8% (11)
†p-value	0.051	0.124	0.232	0.697	0.691
VAS Leg	n=85	n=87	n=77	n=50	n=118
Non-Obese	52.9% (45)	56.3% (49)	49.3% (38)	60.0% (30)	51.6% (61)
Obese I	23.5% (20)	18.3% (16)	23.3% (18)	20.0% (10)	22.0% (26)
Severe	15.2% (13)	16.0% (14)	16.8% (13)	14.0% (7)	16.9% (20
Morbid	8.24% (7)	9.2% (8)	10.3% (8)	6.0% (3)	9.3% (11)
†p-value	0.975	0.765	0.320	0.926	0.324
ODI	n=54	n=58	n=77	n=52	n=109
Non-Obese	55.5% (30)	62.0% (36)	55.8% (43)	59.6% (31)	55.9% (61)
Obese 1	27.7% (15)	15.5% (9)	20.7% (16)	19.2% (10)	21.1% (23)
Severe	7.4% (4)	17.2% (10)	14.2% (11)	15.3% (8)	15.6% (17)
Morbid	9.2% (5)	5.1% (3)	9.0% (7)	5.7% (3)	7.3% (8)
†p-value	0.163	0.124	0.861	0.989	0.893
SF-12	n≃40	n=56	n=60	n=59	n=104
Non-Obese	50.0% (20)	60.7% (34)	58.3% (35)	55.9% (33)	56.7% (59)
Obese I	27.5% (11)	19.6% (11)	26.6% (16)	23.7% (14)	25.9% (27
Severe	15.0% (6)	14.2% (8)	11.6% (7)	15.2% (9)	12.5% (13)
Morbid	7.5% (3)	5.3% (3)	3.3% (2)	5.0% (3)	4.8% (5)
†p-value	0.885	0.426	0.372	0.374	0.481
PROMIS-PF	n=19	n=35	n=48	n=46	n=85
Non-Obese	78.9% (15)	77.1% (27)	60.4% (29)	60.8% (28)	62.3% (53
Obese I	15.7% (3)	14.2% (5)	20.8% (10)	17.3% (8)	18.8% (16
Severe	5.2%(1)	8.5% (3)	12.5% (6)	10.8% (5)	11.7% (10
Morbid	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	6.2% (3)	10.8% (5)	7.0% (6)
†p-value	0.071	0.011	0.338	0.103	0.174





Discussion / Conclusion

- BMI is a significant predictor of ODI, SF-12, and PROMIS-PF at the preoperative to 1-year postoperative timepoint, but only up to the 12week timepoint for VAS scores
- MCID achievement varied by weight category for PROMIS-PF only
- Our results suggest that while BMI may be a significant risk factor for statistical improvement of outcome measures, obesity may only impact a patient's perception of a meaningful improvement in physical function



