

SOLAS Session 9: Complications

Cage Type And Subsidence In Transposas Lateral Interbody Fusion

I. Ohiorhenuan¹, C. Walker¹, J. Godzik², J. Zhou¹, D. Xu³, J. Turner¹, J. Uribe¹; ¹Barrow Neurological Institute, Phoenix, AZ/United States of America, ²Barrow Brain and Spine, Phoenix, AZ/United States of America, ³Neurosurgery, Baylor, Houston, TX/United States of America

Approach Related Complications In Elderly Versus Non-Elderly Patients Undergoing Transposas Approach For Interbody Fusion In 115 Consecutive Patients

Y. Saadeh¹, C. Elswick², O. Kashlan³, P. Park⁴; ¹Department of Neurosurgery, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI/United States of America, ²University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI/United States of America, ³University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, AL/United States of America, ⁴University of Michigan, Ann Arbor/United States of America

A Comparison Of Complications, Clinical And Radiologic Outcome Between The Mini-Open Preposas And Mini-Open Transposas Approach For Lumbar Interbody Fusion – A Meta-Analysis.

N. Dietz¹, A. Spiessberger², V. Arvind³, B. Grueter⁴, F. Huber⁵, R. Guggenberger⁵, B. Moriggl⁶, S. Cho¹; ¹Orthopedic Surgery, Mount Sinai Hospital, New York, AL/United States of America, ²Orthopedic Surgery, Mount Sinai Hospital, New York/United States of America, ³Orthopedic Surgery, Mount Sinai Hospital, New York, NY/United States of America, ⁴Department of Neurosurgery, University Hospital Zurich, Zurich/Switzerland, ⁵Department of Radiology, University Hospital Zurich, Zurich/Switzerland, ⁶Department of Antatomy, Histology, Embryology, Medical University Innsbruck, Innsbruck/Austria